# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

			v.			
Timmie Anderson				Case Number: 15-9473MJ		
			ne Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 stablished:(Check one or both, as app	2(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the <i>licable</i> .)		
$\boxtimes$		y clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the efendant pending trial in this case.				
		preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the dant pending trial in this case.				
			PART I FINI	DINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	offens		nt has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local ense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had		
			a crime of violence as defined in 18	U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the maximum	sentence is life imprisonment or death.		
			an offense for which the maxis	mum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is		
			prescribed1			
				e defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal 142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.		
				ictim or that involves the possession or use of a firearm or the defined in section 921), or any other dangerous weapon, or 8 U.S.C. §2250.		
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.				
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.				
	(4)	condit	ngs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a raisons will reasonably assure the safety as defendant has not rebutted this present	rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of y of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find sumption.		
			Alternati	ve Findings		
$\boxtimes$	(1)	18 U.S	S.C. $3142(e)(3)$ : There is probable c	ause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense:		
		⊠ seq.¹	for which a maximum term of impri-	sonment of ten years or more is prescribed 21 U.S.C. § 801 et		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

	Case 2:15-mj-09473-ESW   Document 8   Filed 11/05/15   Page 2 of 3			
	<ul> <li>□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.</li> <li>□ under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed.</li> </ul>			
	an offense involving a minor victim under section <sup>2</sup>			
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.			
	Alternative Findings			
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.			
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).			
(4)				
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)			
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear a convincing evidence as to danger that:			
	The defendant acknowledged using medication to "self medicate," and tested positive for Oxycodone use shortly after his arrest. Therefore, it appears the defendant has continuing substance abuse issues, which poses a danger to the community. In addition, the defendant's criminal history includes numerous drug related offenses and DUI. This further supports the conclusion that he has continuing substance abuse issues.			
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
	☐ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	☐ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a			
	(1) (2) (3) (4)			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C.  $\S\S1201$ , 1591,2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

# Case 2:15-mj-09473-ESW Document 8 Filed 11/05/15 Page 3 of 3

Ш	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
$\boxtimes$	In addition:

There is an active warrant issued from the Phoenix Justice Court in April 2013 for obstructing a court order.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

## PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 4th day of November, 2015.

Bridget & Ba

United States Magistrate Judge